

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of G.W.D., Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ANGELA DAVIS,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

September 17, 2002

No. 239397

Ingham Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 00-443031-NA

Before: Whitbeck, C.J. and Sawyer and Kelly, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right the January 29, 2002 order terminating her parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(g). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E)(1)(b).

In order to terminate parental rights, the trial court must find that at least one of the statutory grounds for termination in MCL 712A.19b(3) has been met by clear and convincing evidence. *In re McIntyre*, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1993). We review the trial court's findings of fact under the clearly erroneous standard. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Here, the trial court did not clearly err when it terminated respondent's parental rights based on evidence that respondent was too immature and unstable to raise a child responsibly, and that she failed to correct these problems during the child's temporary wardship.

Respondent also contends that the trial court violated her constitutional right to due process. This argument merely reiterates the arguments respondent raised in challenging the sufficiency of the evidence; she does not explain how these claims relate to a violation of due process. A party may not merely announce a position and leave it to this Court to discover and rationalize the basis for the claim. *American Transmission, Inc v Channel 7 of Detroit, Inc*, 239 Mich App 695, 705; 609 NW2d 607 (2001). Accordingly, this issue is without merit.

Affirmed.

/s/ William C. Whitbeck

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Kirsten Frank Kelly